

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, DC 20231

1	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
•	09/008,957	01/20/9	3 MORIARTY	R	

HM42/1119 BULLWINKEL PARTNERS EXAMINER BADIO, B

SUITE 1300 19 SOUTH LASALLE STREET CHICACO IL 60603-1493

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1616

DATE MAILED:

11/19/98

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application No.

Office Action Summary

Applicant(s)

Moriarty et al.

Examiner

Barbara Badio

09/008,957

Group Art Unit 1616



⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Jan 20, 1998</u>					
☐ This action is FINAL .					
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for form in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.I	mal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.				
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expis longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reapplication to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).	spond within the period for response will cause the				
Disposition of Claims					
X Claim(s) 1-14	is/are pending in the application.				
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
Claim(s)					
Claim(s)					
Claim(s)					
Application Papers					
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.					
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to	by the Examiner.				
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on					
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
\square The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).					
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been					
☐ received.					
☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)					
received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
*Certified copies not received:					
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority unc	ler 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).				
Attachment(s)					
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892					
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).					
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413					
□ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948					
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152					
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FO	OLLOWING PAGES				

Art Unit: 1616

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-6 and 10-14, drawn to compounds, classified in class 552, subclass 653.
 - II. Claim 7, drawn to a process of making, classified in class 552, subclass653.
 - III. Claims 8 and 9, drawn to a method of use, classified in class 514, subclass 167.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process.

Art Unit: 1616

- 3. Inventions I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product.
- 4. Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different modes of operation/functions.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for one Group is not required for the other Groups, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. Claims 1-14 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising the embodiment of R groups defined by compounds 13a-e. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Art Unit: 1616

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

7. A telephone call was made to Mr. Harold Fassnacht on November 17, 1998 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(l).

Art Unit: 1616

Telephone Inquiry Contacts

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Barbara Badio whose telephone number is (703) 308-4595. The examiner can normally be reached between 7:30 am and 4 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, José Dees, can be reached on (703) 308-4628. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

JOSE' G. DEES

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

1616

BB

November 17, 1998